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ABSTRACT  This consensus statement supports implementation of caries management by risk assessment in clinical practice by using the following principles: modification of the oral flora, patient education, remineralization, and minimal operative intervention. The statement includes a list of supporters.

Adopted by the authors of this issue of the Journal of the California Dental Association and the general assembly of the World Congress of Minimally Invasive Dentistry.

Members of the Western, Central, and Eastern CAMBRA Coalitions, ADEA Cariology Special Interest Group, WCMID, and others listed in Table 1 recognize the 2002 FDI Policy Statement, Minimal Intervention in the Management of Dental Caries as the current clinical standard for caries management and further support implementation of the following principles:

Main principles for CAMBRA implementation

- Modification of the oral flora to favor health.
- Patient education and informed participation.
- Remineralization of non-cavitated lesions of enamel and dentin/cementum, and...
The following organizations/individuals support the main principles of this consensus paper. The purpose of this table is to illustrate interorganizational collaboration across our profession in support of developing an improved standard for caries management. Time constraints did not allow all institutions to be included, and we encourage interested parties to contact the authors of this consensus paper.

### WESTERN CAMBRA COALITION
- Arizona School of Dentistry and Oral Health
  - Richard J. Simonsen (currently at Midwestern University; see below)
- City College of San Francisco
  - Anna Nelson
- Loma Linda University
  - Charles J. Goodacre (dean)
  - Brian Black
  - Bonnie Nelson
  - Wesley Okumura
  - Doug Roberts
  - Dan Tan
- Midwestern University College of Dental Medicine
  - Richard J. Simonsen (dean)
- Oregon Health and Science University
  - Michael Carlascio
  - Juliana B. da Costa
  - Jack Ferracane
  - Prashant Gagneja
  - Robert Johnson
- Riverside Community College
  - Debi Gerger
  - Michelle Hurlbut
- Scottsdale Center for Dentistry
  - Gordon J. Christensen (dean)
- University of California Office of the President
  - Wyatt R. Hume
- University of California, Los Angeles
  - No-Hee Park (dean)
  - James J. Crall
  - Edmond R. Hewlett
  - Vladimir W. Spolsky
  - Richard Stevenson
  - Larry Wolinsky
  - Wenwu Shi
- University of California, San Francisco
  - John D.B. Featherstone (interim Dean)
  - William F. Bird
  - Sheila Brear
  - Pamela K. DenBesten
  - Spomenka Djordjevic
  - W. Stephen Eakle
  - Stuart Gansky
  - Robert P. Ho
  - Samuel T. Huang
  - Larry Jenson
  - Brent Lin
  - Howard Pollick
  - Francisco Ramos-Gomez
  - Margaret M. Walsh
  - Joel M. White
- University of Nevada, Las Vegas
  - Steven Hackmyer
  - Raymond Tozzi
  - Wendy Woodall
- University of the Pacific, San Francisco
  - Patrick Ferrillo (dean)
  - Arthur A. Dugoni (dean emeritus)
  - Phil Buchanan
  - Alan Budenz
  - Fred Fendler
  - Elly Francisco
  - Richard Frederking
  - Paul Glassman
  - Deborah Horlak
  - Richard Lubman
  - William Lundergan
  - Cindy Lyon
  - Christine Miller
  - Nader A. Nadershahi
  - Warden Noble
  - Bruce Peltier
  - Paul Subar
  - Paula Watson
  - Craig S. Yarborough
  - Douglas A. Young
- University of Southern California
  - Harold Slavkin (dean)
  - Loris Abedi
  - Saj Jivraj
  - Mike Mulvehill
  - Richard Udin
- University of Washington
  - Martha Somerman (dean)
  - Sami Dogan
  - Werner Geurtsen
  - Gabriela Ibarra
  - Rebecca L. Slayton
  - Philip Weinstein
- West Los Angeles College
  - Debi Gerger
- Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
  - Osnat Feuerstein
  - Beatrice Shalah
  - Ervin I. Weiss
- University of Adelaide, Australia
  - John McIntyre
  - Graham Mount
  - Hien Ngo
- University of Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France
  - Sophie Domejean-Orlaguet
- California Dental Association
  - CDA Board of Trustees*
  - CDA Foundation
- California Society of Pediatric Dentistry
  - Melvin Rowan
  - Richard Udin
  - A. Jeffrey Wood
- Private Practice
  - Jean Creasey
  - William Domb
  - Nathan Kaufman
  - V. Kim Kutsch
  - Graeme Millicich
  - Edwin J. Zimmun
  - Frank R. Recker
- Governmental/State Programs
  - David Noel
  - Reed Snow
  - Ariane Terlet
- Research Institutes
  - Rella Christensen, Clinical Research Associates

### CENTRAL CAMBRA COALITION
- Creighton University Medical Center School of Dentistry
  - Steven Friedrichsen (dean)
  - Frank Ayers
  - James Howard
  - Nicole Kimes
  - Mark Latta
  - Luke Matranga
  - R. Scott Shaddy
  - John Shamer
- Indiana University School of Dentistry
  - Hafstein Eggertsson
  - Margherita Fontana
  - Carlos Gonzalez-Cabezas
  - Jeffrey A. Platt
- Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center School of Dentistry
  - Eric Hovland (dean)
  - Alan H. Rippes
  - Robert S. Sergent
- Nova Southeastern University College of Dental Medicine
  - Donald Antonson
  - Sibel Antonson
  - Norman Feigenbaum
  - Phyllis Filker
  - Audrey Galka
  - Franklin Garcia-Godoy
  - Evren Kilinc
  - Jodi Kodish
  - Lawrence Levin
  - Marrianna Pasciuta
- Ohio State University College of Dentistry
  - Joseph J. Morriello
  - D. Stanley Sharples
  - Robert G. Rashid
- South Illinois University School of Dental Medicine
  - Poonam Jain
- Temple University
  - Temple University Kornberg School of Dentistry
    - Juan E. Arocho
    - Jack Hollingsworth
  - University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Dentistry
    - Huw F. Thomas (dean)
- University of Connecticut School of Dental Medicine
  - Jonathan C. Meiers
- University of Detroit Mercy School of Dentistry
  - Suzana M. Gjekaj
  - Jackson B. Linger
- University of Florida College of Dentistry
  - Teresa Dolan (dean)
  - Kenneth Anusavice
  - Jaana Autio-Gold
  - Paul K. Blaser
  - Mark E. Davis
  - Valeria V. Gordan
  - Ivar Mjör
  - Eduardo Mondragon
  - Marc E. Ottenga
  - Chiyai Shen
  - Karl-Johan Söderholm
  - K. David Stillwell
  - Scott L. Tomar
  - Boyd Welsch
- University of Illinois at Chicago School of Dentistry
  - Ana Bedran-Russo
  - G. William Knight
  - Frank Perry
  - Adriana Semprun-Clavier
  - Steven Steinberg
University of Iowa College of Dentistry
David Johnsen (dean)
Steve Armstrong
Murray Bouschlicher
Jane Chalmers
Deborah Cobb
Gerald Deney
Sandra Guzman-Armstrong
Marcela Hernandez
Mike Kanellis
Satish Khera
Justine L. Kolker
Erin Lacey
Steve Levy
Michelle McQuistan
Thomas Schulein
John Warren
James Wefel

University of Louisville School of Dentistry
Jennifer B. McCants

University of Michigan School of Dentistry
Peter Polverini (dean)
Juliana A. Barros
Stephen Bayne
James R. Boynton
Mark Fitzgerald
Joan McGowan
Amid I. Ismail
Joan McGowan
Mark Fitzgerald
James R. Boynton

University of Minnesota School of Dentistry
Ignatius Lee
Jorge Perdigao
Craig Phair
Jill Stoltenberg
Omar Zidan

University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Dentistry
Pam Overman
John Purk
John Thurmond

University of Nebraska Medical Center College of Dentistry
John Reinhardt (dean)
N. Blaine Cook
Larry D. Haisch
Michael P. Molvar
Henry A. St. Germain

University of North Carolina School of Dentistry, Chapel Hill
Luz Mondragon
Allen Samuelson
Thomas L. Ziemiecki

University of Oklahoma College of Dentistry
Sharukh S. Khajotia
Terry J. Fruits

University of Puerto Rico School of Dentistry
Yilda Rivera (dean)
Juan A. Agosto Colon
Augusto Elias
Arnaldo J. Guzman
Jose R. Matos
Lorna A. Rodriguez

University of Texas Dental Branch at Houston
Catherine M. Flaitz (dean)
Peggy O’Neill
William H. Tate

University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio
Bennett T. Amaechi
Kevin M. Gureckis
Carl W. Haveman
Barry W. Holleron
Barbara A. MacNeill
J. D. Overtone
Rita Parma
H. Ralph Rawls
James B. Summitt
Edward F. Wright

Full-time Faculty
Kevin Frazier, Augusta, Ga.

EASTERN CAMBRA COALITION

University at Buffalo, School of Dental Medicine
Davis Garlapo
Frank A. Scannapieco
Othman Shibly

Columbia University College of Dental Medicine
Richard M. Lichtenthal

Medical College of Georgia School of Dentistry
Steven M. Adair

Howard University College of Dentistry
Tadasha E. Culbreath
Cheryl E. S. Fryer
Andrea D. Jackson
Janis Mercer

Howard University
Cheryl Fryer
Janis Mercer

University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey
Kenneth Joel Markowitz

New York University School of Dentistry, New York, N.Y.
Charles Bertolami (dean)
John R. Calamia
David Glotzer
Benjamin Godder
James Kaim
James LoPresti
Ken Magid
Mitchell S. Pines
Andrew Schenkel
Van Thompson
Richard Vogel
Mark Wolff

Stony Brook University
Douglas Foerth
Ann Nasti

Tufts University School of Dental Medicine
Gardner Bassett
Harish Gulati
Margaret J. Howard

Virginia Commonwealth University School of Dentistry
Peter Moon

West Virginia University School of Dentistry
K. Birgitta Brown

OTHER UNIVERSITIES

Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam — the Netherlands
JM ten Cate

Laval University Faculty of Dental Medicine — Quebec Canada
Sylvie Morin

University of Saskatchewan College of Dentistry — Saskatoon Canada
Gerry Uskwak (dean)
Alan Kiliottoff

University of Melbourne — Melbourne, Australia
Michael Burrow
Martin John Tyas

Educational Organizations

ADEA Cariology Special Interest Group

ADEA Council of Students

Advisory Committee of the Consortium of Operative Dentistry Educators (CODE)

INDUSTRY

Anderson Dental Consulting
Max Anderson

BIOLASE Technology, Inc.
Jeffrey W. Jones
William E. Brown, Jr.

Cross Link Presentations
Shirley Gutkowski

Discus Dental
Julia Fann
Mark Gersh
Marla Mattinson

GC America
Mark Heiss
Tadahiko Kumaki
William Myers

Johnson & Johnson Consumer & Personal Products Worldwide
Elizabeth Roberts
Kurt Schilling
Ben Wiegand

KaVo Dental
Jeff Thibadeau

OMNI Preventive Care, A 3M ESPE Company
Steve Pardue
Aaron Pfarrer

Oral BioTech, LLC
Robert J. Bowers
Bob Cantrell

3M ESPE Dental Products
Sumita Mitra
Rus Randall

Procter & Gamble
Steven Boss (retired)
Robert Fallar
Karla Girts
Mike Sudzina
Paul Warren

UltraDent
Vicki Drent
Dan Fisher

*Due to timing of this publication, this policy is pending approval of the CDA House of Delegates, Nov. 2-4, 2007.
Minimal operative intervention of cavitated lesions and defective restorations.

**Implementation Guidelines for Clinical Practice**

The following statements are suggested ways to implement caries management by risk assessment principles into clinical practice:

1. **TREATING THE DISEASE OF CARIES**

   Successful clinical use of CAMBRA requires the dental team to understand:
   - Caries is defined as an infectious, transmissible disease process where a complex cariogenic biofilm, in the presence of an oral environmental status that is more pathological than protective, leads to the demineralization and eventual cavitation of dental hard tissues.
   - Caries, the most common chronic disease of our children, and virtually universal among adults, is both curable and preventable, and therefore should be given top priority and the full resources of our profession.
   - The conventional restorative approach alone will not eliminate the disease of caries. Preventing caries and remineralizing early lesions are cost-effective treatment options and will enhance success of all aspects of dentistry.
   - CAMBRA uses evidence-based treatment decisions based on the caries risk status of the individual as determined by the balance or imbalance between the pathological factors and protective factors of each patient. Pathological factors include cariogenic bacteria, frequent ingestion of fermentable carbohydrates, and salivary dysfunction. Protective factors include, but are not limited to, adequate saliva and its caries preventive components, fluoride therapy, and antibacterial therapy.
   - Evidence-based dentistry, as defined by the American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs in 2006, is an approach to oral health care that requires the judicious integration of systematic assessments of clinically relevant scientific evidence relating to the patient’s oral and medical condition and history, with the dentist’s clinical expertise and the patient’s treatment needs and preferences (www.ada.org/prof/re-

---

**Caries, the most common chronic disease of our children, and virtually universal among adults, is both curable and preventable**

2. **PEDIATRIC RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE CHILD FROM BIRTH TO AGE 5**

   - Assessment of the caries risk status of the young child is essential before a treatment plan can be designed.
   - Children should be under the care of a dental professional by age 1.
   - Caries risk assessment for the young child starts with a parent or caregiver interview and education.
   - A clinical examination of the child completes the assessment.
   - The risk assessment drives the decisions about preventive, therapeutic, behavioral, and restorative approaches and determines which of the risk factors involved needs modification to correct the imbalance.
   - The overall aim is to determine whether the child has active dental caries, or is likely to have dental caries in the future, and to intervene with patient/caregiver education and a combination of approaches designed to arrest or reverse the disease and markedly improve the future oral health status of the child.

3. **RISK ASSESSMENT FOR AGE 6 THROUGH ADULT**

   - Assessment of the caries risk status of children and adults is essential before a treatment plan can be designed.
Caries risk assessment for the child and adult combines an assessment of disease indicators and risk factors.

A small number of key disease indicators and risk factors determine whether the individual is at low, moderate, high, or an additional category called extreme risk. Extreme risk is designated when a patient at high risk from other factors also has severe hyposalivation or other special needs.

Risk factors are biological, behavioral, or socioeconomic contributors to the caries disease process that can be modified as part of the treatment plan.

If the disease is currently active, or if there is the future risk of progression of dental caries, intervention appropriate to the risk status is required to correct the caries imbalance before cavitation occurs.

4. CLINICAL PROTOCOLS

The clinical management of dental caries is based upon the caries risk assessment.

Following a caries risk assessment, an evidence-based treatment plan is developed based upon the level of risk, namely low, moderate, high, or extreme.

The objective clinical judgment of the dentist, i.e., the ability to combine and use the identified risk factors based on the patient’s clinical situation, has been shown to be one of the most powerful ways to determine an individual’s caries risk.

High- and extreme-risk individuals require antibacterial therapy, reduction of identified risk factors, remineralization therapy. Extreme risk individuals with severe salivary dysfunction require additional therapy, such as the use of buffering agents and calcium and phosphate supplementation.

Moderate-risk individuals require improved remineralization therapy and reduction of other risk factors, which may include antibacterial therapy.

Topical antibacterial therapy should be used whenever a high cariogenic bacterial challenge is identified and patients should be informed if required repeated treatments. In addition to bringing down the bacterial challenge, intensive remineralizing actions must be taken.

Elements of a successful remineralization therapy include thorough caries disease diagnosis, early lesion detection, and determination of proper treatment interventions based on location, activity, and severity of the carious lesions, including the development of a treatment plan to minimize surgical treatment based on the individual risk level.

Chemical therapy is employed to adjust the imbalance between the pathological factors and the protective factors in order to reverse or halt the progression of early carious lesion progression toward cavitation.

Minimally invasive restorative work is included in the treatment plan as needed to restore the function and esthetics of the tooth. Proper material selection should be based on the individual risk assessment to reduce future failures in restored teeth.

Restoration may be needed to restore the function of the tooth and eliminate retentive sites for plaque accumulation. Unfortunately, restorative work alone does not deal with the bacterial infection in the remainder of the mouth.

Caries recall appointments at appropriate intervals are essential to monitor, renew, and reinforce the proposed caries management and prevention plan for the individual patient.

Reassessment of the caries risk status is necessary at each caries recall visit.

The overall aim of the clinical protocol is to reduce the acidogenic bacterial challenge, to reduce or eliminate other risk factors, to enhance salivary function where needed, to enhance the repair process by remineralization, and to employ a minimally invasive approach when restorative treatment is needed.

All patients should be informed of preventive choices and appropriate minimally invasive restorative options, if needed, based on the location (site), depth (severity), and activity of the problem as well as their current caries risk status.

Adhesive dental materials such as composite resin and glass ionomer products should be considered for conservative treatment of caries. Glass ionomer because of its chemical, rather than micromechanical, interaction (seal) to tooth mineral may have additional caries protective effects, especially on dentin or cementum (root surfaces).

5. PRODUCTS

The evidence base for current products used to treat and prevent dental caries should be evaluated and considered prior to use in practice.

Antibacterials (e.g., chlorhexidine, iodine, xylitol, combinations of essentials oils, chlorine-based products) can be used to reduce levels of pathogenic organisms. Bacterial assessment may help in monitoring the process and motivating patient involvement.
Buffering products are needed to neutralize acid attacks when there is a lack of healthy saliva.

Topical fluoride from numerous sources (office and home) should be used to enhance remineralization. (e.g., 5 percent sodium fluoride varnish, 1,000-5,000 ppm fluoride toothpastes, .05 percent sodium fluoride rinses). Patients not adhering to home-care fluoride recommendations should receive more individual office-based professional topical applications of fluoride, such as fluoride varnish.

The evidence-based clinical recommendations for professionally applied topical fluoride, as endorsed by the ADA Council on Scientific Affairs in 2006, can serve as a chairside reference for patient care and can be found at www.ada.org/prof/resources/pubs/jada/reports/index.asp.

To increase patient cooperation, products can be dispensed directly by the clinician, rather than prescribed.

Calcium and phosphate products can be used to replace those minerals missing in patients with reduced salivary function. Other patients with observed surface demineralization (e.g., white spots) may benefit from this therapy in addition to fluoride treatments.

New products and treatment strategies are emerging that are expected to be set, deciding which team members are responsible for each step is crucial.

Use established networks and evidence-based resources to find information and colleagues for support and advice such as:
- www.cdafoundation.org/journal
- www.firstgoralhealth.org
- www.adea.org/DMS/sections/sigcariology/sigcariology.html
- www.aapd.org
- www.icdas.org
- www.midentistry.org
- www.wcmid.com

Supplement patient education sessions using multiple approaches (e.g., newsletters, Web sites, pamphlets, handouts, and literature search engines such as PubMed or DVDs). Fully inform patients of all options available to them, including recommended, as well as elective procedures, and let them choose.

It is important to follow the principles and rules of high-quality practice.

- Use proper documentation and record clinical and radiographic findings.
- Include location, activity, and severity of lesions (e.g., use of ICDAS codes, laser fluorescence readings, photographs before, during, and after treatment, etc.)
- Record accurately the agreed-upon treatment plan and include detailed progress note entries.
- Establish a sound business model for CAMBRA procedures that generates sufficient revenue to justify its economic existence. The entire dental team must be comfortable with charging patients a fee commensurate with the service provided. Patients may be comfortable with CAMBRA-related fees once the dental professional helps them understand what value they are receiving.

7. THE TEAM APPROACH

The team approach is essential for the successful caries management program in the dental office, and the role of the dental auxiliary is critical in the overall management of the program. The dental auxiliary will prepare and maintain the CAMBRA dental practice by providing the caries risk assessment, thorough patient education and necessary supplies.

A CAMBRA-trained dental auxiliary (dental hygienist or dental assistant) can be the designated prevention specialist overseeing all CAMBRA activities in the practice (where permissible by the Dental Practice Act). This prevention specialist will ensure the CAMBRA protocol is being implemented with each patient encounter to develop and implement preventive patient care based on the patient’s risk assessment.

The practice administrative staff plays an important role as practice ambassadors. The administrative staff will take the lead role in CAMBRA patient communication and third-party payer reimbursement opportunities.

The dental team, led by the dentist, is a practical way to make CAMBRA work. The dentist will support the CAMBRA process financially and philosophically to provide a successful environment for implementation.

New and existing patients benefit from the CAMBRA protocol by having the disease addressed before expensive restorative procedures are
implemented. All patients will be informed about the CAMBRA protocol with the goal of disease management through risk assessment procedures.

- New and existing patients are likely to refer more people to the CAMBRA office as they see the benefits of practicing this philosophy has for them.

8. Behavioral Change

As the complexity of prevention increases, the disparity between what we know and what we do is likely to widen. The following are suggestions for positive behavioral change in the active implementation of the CAMBRA initiative.

- Do not simply tell patients to do what is good for them. Use motivational interviewing, active listening, functional analysis, goal setting, and demonstrations of appropriate behaviors.
- It is important for dentists to establish which option works best with each of the employees in their office, and for the dental care team to do the same with each patient in the practice.

Summary

Current standards in caries management emphasize risk assessment and appropriate therapeutic interventions, detection of early noncavitated lesions, diagnosis of severity and activity of lesions, and minimally invasive surgical intervention only when needed using the optimum dental materials based on the patient’s problems. Collaboration among research, education, industry, dental health care workers, and patients, along with the use of evidence-based treatment recommendations, dental caries infections can be prevented and controlled.